Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 46861 Ashish Verma dba Dixie Mart RN102957156 Docket No. 2013-0959-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Dixie Mart, 10101 Jacksboro Highway, Fort Worth, Tarrant County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: September 27, 2013

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$19,225

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$3,845 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$1,080
Total Due to General Revenue: \$14,300

Payment Plan: 26 payments of \$550 each

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: September 19, 2012

Date(s) of NOE(s): April 30, 2013

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 46861 Ashish Verma dba Dixie Mart RN102957156 Docket No. 2013-0959-PST-E

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at a frequency of at least once every month [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 2. Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery. Specifically, automatic tank gauge records for September 19, 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not reported [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72].
- 3. Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of discovery. Specifically, automatic tank gauge records for September 19, 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not investigated [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.74].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

Respondent implemented the following corrective measures:

- a. Implemented a process for reporting a suspected release; and
- b. Submitted documentation demonstrating that a method of release detection had been implemented on June 5, 2013.

Technical Requirements:

Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:

- a. Within 30 days, conduct an investigation of the suspected release and implement appropriate corrective measures; and
- b. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance with Ordering Provision a.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Rebecca Boyett, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 7, MC 128, (512) 239-2503; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 46861 Ashish Verma dba Dixie Mart RN102957156 Docket No. 2013-0959-PST-E

Respondent: Ashish Verma, Manager, Dixie Mart, 10101 Jacksboro Highway, Fort

Worth, Texas 76135

Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PAYABLE PENALTY

Policy Revision 3 (S						on August 3, 2011
DATES Assigned PCW	6-May-2013 25-Jun-2013	Screening 13-Ma	ay-2013	EPA Due		
RESPONDENT/FACILI Respondent Reg. Ent. Ref. No. Facility/Site Region	Ashish Verma di RN102957156	oa Dixie Mart		Major/Minor	Source Minor	
CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. Docket No. Media Program(s) Multi-Media Admin. Penalty \$	2013-0959-PST- Petroleum Stora			vernment/Non Enf. Coore	r Type 1660	n 7
TOTAL BASE PENA	ALTY (Sum of	Penalty Ca			Subtotal 1	\$16,250
ADJUSTMENTS (+ Subtotals 2-7 are of Compliance Hi Notes	btained by multiplyin story	OTAL 1 g the Total Base Penalty ment for one order	20.0% Enh	ancement	ge. Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$3,250
Culpability Notes	No The Re	espondent does not		ancement ability criteria.	Subtotal 4	\$0
Good Faith Eff	ort to Comply 1	otal Adjustments		ASPERUSA I INCLUSIONI ASSESSMENT	Subtotal 5	\$375
Economic Ben	efit Total EB Amounts Cost of Compliance	\$479 \$6,600	0.0% Enhar *Capped at th	ncement* le Total EB \$ Amount	Subtotal 6	\$0
SUM OF SUBTOTA	LS 1-7				Final Subtotal	\$19,125
OTHER FACTORS A				0.5%	Adjustment	\$100
Notes	Recommended	enhancement to cap associated with		2.	nal Penalty Amount	\$19,225
STATUTORY LIMIT	T ADJUSTMEI	VТ			al Assessed Penalty	\$19,225
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Pe	enalty by the indicted	percentage. (Enter num	nber only; e.g. 20		action Adjustment	-\$3,845
Notes		Deferral offered for	expedited seti	tlement.		renovembel

\$15,380

PCW

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Respondent Ashish Verma dba Dixie Mart

Case ID No. 46861

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102957156

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rebecca Boyett

Compliance History Worksheet

Component	ory Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	1	20%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Aduls	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	total 2)
peat Violator (centage (Subt	otal 3) [
ampliance Histo	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		· · · · · / L
			-
Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	total 7)
ompliance Histo	ory Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one order containing a denial of liability.		
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S	Subtotals 2, 3	3, & 7) [

Screening Date		Docket No. 2013-0959-PST-E	PCW
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102957156 Petroleum Storage Tank		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Violation Number Rule Cite(s)	1	50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.34	75(c)(1)
Violation Description		rground storage tanks ("USTs") for releas very month (not to exceed 35 days betwe monitoring).	
		Bas	e Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health M Harm	atrix	
Release OR Actual	Major Moderate	Minor	
Potential	X	Percent 15.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor Percent 0.0%	
		Percent 0.0%	outoma use a destruit, o 🕽
		t be exposed to pollutants which would ex- vironmental receptors as a result of the vic	
		Adjustment	\$21,250
			\$3,750
Violation Events	Idiation Events	Number of violeties	4
Number of V	iolation Events 1 daily	Number of violation	days
	weekly x		
mark only one with an x	quarterly semiannual annual	Violation Bas	e Penalty \$3,750
	single event		
One monthly		e April 30, 2013 record review date to the creening date.	May 13,
Good Faith Efforts to Comp	The state of the s	eduction DV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$375
	Extraordinary Cordinary N/A (m	X ark with x)	
		nt achieved compliance on June 5, 2013, ce of Enforcement dated April 30, 2013.	
		Violation	Subtotal \$3,375
Economic Benefit (EB) for	his violation	Statutory Limit	Test
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$53 Violation Final Pen	
	This violati	on Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted f	or limits) \$4,147

	. 1	orage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
		Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or s	j .				1.99	
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
			***************************************	1 0 00			\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	3 U
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$1,500 Estimated co	19-Sep-2012	JSTs for release	0.00 0.00 0.71 s. The	\$0 \$0 \$53 date required is ti	n/a	\$0 \$0 \$53
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is th	0.00 0.00 0.71 es. The ne date enterio	\$0 \$0 \$53 date required is to of compliance.	n/a n/a n/a he investigation date for one-time avoid \$0	\$0 \$0 \$53 , and the final led costs) \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is th	0.00 0.00 0.71 es. The ne date	\$0 \$0 \$53 date required is to of compliance.	n/a n/a n/a he investigation date	\$0 \$0 \$53 , and the final
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is th	0.00 0.00 0.71 es. The ne date entering 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$53 date required is to of compliance.	n/a n/a n/a he investigation date for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$53 e, and the final led costs) \$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is th	0.00 0.00 0.71 es. The ne date enterin 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$53 date required is to of compliance.	n/a n/a n/a he investigation date for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$53 a, and the final led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is th	0.00 0.00 0.71 es. The ne date enterii 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$53 date required is to of compliance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a ne investigation date for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$53 :, and the final !ed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Respondent Ashish Verma doa Dixie Mart Case ID No. 4861 Reg. Ent. Reference No. 4861 Redia [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Rebecca Boyet Violation Number Rule Cite(s) Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery. Specifically, automatic tank gauge records for September 19, 2012 Indicated a suspected release that was not reported. **Percent** Notes** Release Major Moderate Minor Petrent** Percent 0.0% **Adjustment \$23,750 **Adjustment \$23,7
Reg. Ent. Réference No. NIN10297156 Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Rebecco Boyett Violation Number 2 Rule Cite(s) Violation Description Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery. Specifically, automatic tank gauge records for September 19, 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not reported. Sase Penalty \$25,000 >> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Hoderate Minor Actual Potentia Percent 0.0% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Adjustment \$23,750 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 13 Number of violation days Size of the rule requirement was not met. Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 13 Number of violation days Good Faith Efforts to Comply One single event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply One single event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply One single event is recommended. The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
Media Statute Petroleum Storage Tank
Finite Coordinator Resease Soyet Violation Number 2
Violation Number Rule Cite(s) South Percent Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72
Violation Description Violation Description Violation Description Violation Description Violation Description Falled to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery. Specifically, automatic tank gauge records for September 19, 2012 Indicated a suspected release that was not reported. Base Penalty \$25,000 Servironmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Percent Percent Percent 100% of the rule requirement was not met. Notes Adjustment \$23,750 Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events One single event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Mores The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
Falled to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery. Specifically, automatic tank gauge records for September 19, 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not reported.
Specifically, automatic tank gauge records for September 19, 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not reported. Base Penalty
Specifically, automatic tank gauge records for September 19, 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not reported. Base Penalty
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Percent 0.0% >> Programmatic Matrix Faisfication Major Moderate Minor
Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Percent 0.0% >>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor
Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Percent 0.0% >>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Adjustment 5.0% Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met. Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met.
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 5.0% Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met. Adjustment \$23,750 \$1,250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events Adjustment \$23,750 \$1,250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events One single event x One single event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notage The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 5.0% Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met. Adjustment \$23,750 \$1,250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 13 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual single event. x One single event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction 9.00 Reducti
Falsification Major Moderate Minor X
Falsification Major Moderate Minor X
Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met. Adjustment \$23,750 \$1,250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events Violation Base Penalty weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event X One single event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply Extraordinary Ordinary Ordinary N/A X ((mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
Matrix Notes Adjustment \$23,750
Notes Adjustment \$23,750 \$1,250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 13 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event x One single event x One single event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes To Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
Notes Adjustment \$23,750 \$1,250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 13 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event x One single event x
Adjustment \$23,750 \$1,250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 13 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event x One single event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction \$0 Extraordinary Ordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1
Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1
Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events
Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events
Number of Violation Events daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event x
Number of Violation Events daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event x
dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event X One single event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event x Violation Base Penalty \$1,250 One single event x Violation Base Penalty \$1,250 One single event x \$1,250 One single
weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event x Violation Base Penalty \$1,250 One single event x Violation Base Penalty \$1,250 One single event x \$1,250 One single
mark only one with an x Motes Mot
Semiannual annual single event Semiannual single event Semia
Semiannual annual single event x One single event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction \$0 Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
One single event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply O.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
One single event is recommended. Good Faith Efforts to Comply O.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
Good Faith Efforts to Comply O.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
Good Faith Efforts to Comply O.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
N/A (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for
NOTACI II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
NOTACI II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
是是这些一种的。
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Violation Subtotal \$1,250
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test
Estimated EB Amount \$100 Violation Final Penalty Total \$1,508

Rea. Ent. Reference No.							
Media	Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	2						Depreciation
						5.0	15
464	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAVED costs							
Supplies/equipment	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel repection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Respection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	ANNUAL \$100	IZE [1] avoided		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$100	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$100
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$100	19-Sep-2012 voidéd cost to re	20-Sep-2012	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$100 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$100 \$0

Screening Date		013-0959-PST-E PCW
	Ashish Verma dba Dixie Mart	Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.		PCW Revision August 3, 2011
	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator		
Violation Number	4	
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.7	4
Violation Description	Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated s discovery. Specifically, automatic tank gauge records	
•	indicated a suspected release that was no	
CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O		
. 1		
		Base Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Propert	y and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	
OR Release Actual	Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual Potential	Po	ercent 15.0%
, otendar <u>i</u>		15.0%
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	_
数据证明的经验的证据	Po	ercent 0.0%
	or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutant	
Notes that are pr	otective of human health or environmental receptors as	a result of the violation.
	Adjus	stment \$21,250
		\$3,750
		`
Violation Events		
Number of V	olation Events 3 206 No	ımber of violation days
		,
	daily	
	weekly	·
mark only one	monthly quarterly X	Violation Base Penalty \$11,250
with an x	semiannual	411/255
	annual William Mills	***************************************
	single event	
Miles and the section of the second section of the section		
Three quarter	ly events are recommended from the release investigati	on due date of October 19,
	2012 to the May 13, 2013 screening date.	
	Florescope - C	
Good Faith Efforts to Comp	O.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$0
I	Extraordinary	
	Ordinary	
	N/A (mark with x)	
	The Respondent does not meet the good	faith criteria for
	Notes this violation.	
		Violation Subtotal \$11,250
	•••	
Economic Benefit (EB) for t	nis violation S	tatutory Limit Test
Estimate	d EB Amount \$325 Vid	lation Final Penalty Total \$13,571
	This violation First Second 5	alby (adjusted for the last)
	This violation Final Assessed Per	halty (adjusted for limits) \$13,571

		conomic	Dellellt	AA O	rksneet		
		dba Dixie Mart					
Case ID No.	46861						
eg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102957156	i					
Media	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank					Years of
Violation No.		-				Percent Interest	Depreciation
And the second second						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	п/а	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$5,000 Estimated cos	19-Oct-2012	6-Feb-2014	1.30	\$0 \$325	n/a n/a	\$0 \$325
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was due, a	suspected relea	1.30 ase. The	\$0 \$325 ne date required is e estimated date o	n/a n/a the date the releas f compliance.	\$0 \$325 se investigation
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was due, a	suspected relea	1.30 ase. Th te is the enterin	\$0 \$325 ne date required is e estimated date o	n/a n/a the date the releas of compliance. for one-time avoid	\$0 \$325 se investigation ded costs)
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was due, a	suspected relea	1.30 ase. The state is the entering 0.00	\$0 \$325 ne date required is e estimated date of ng item (except \$0	n/a n/a the date the releas of compliance. for one-time avoid	\$0 \$325 se investigation ded costs)
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was due, a	suspected relea	1.30 ase. The state is the enterin 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$325 ne date required is e estimated date of ng item (except \$0 \$0	n/a n/a the date the release from one-time avoice \$0 \$0	\$0 \$325 se investigation ded costs) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was due, a	suspected relea	1.30 ase. The state is the entering 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$325 ne date required is e estimated date of ag item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a s the date the release of compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$325 se investigation ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was due, a	suspected relea	1.30 ase. The sentering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$325 ne date required is e estimated date of sg item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a s the date the release of compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$325 se investigation se investigation se investigation \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was due, a	suspected relea	1.30 ase. The state is the state in the stat	\$0 \$325 he date required is e estimated date of agitem (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a s the date the release of compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$325 se investigation ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was due, a	suspected relea	1.30 ase. The state is the state of the stat	\$0 \$325 ne date required is e estimated date of ng item (except 1) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a the date the release of compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$325 se investigation ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was due, a	suspected relea	1.30 ase. The state is the state in the stat	\$0 \$325 he date required is e estimated date of agitem (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a s the date the release of compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$325 se investigation ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was due, a	suspected relea	1.30 ase. The state is the state of the stat	\$0 \$325 ne date required is e estimated date of ng item (except 1) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a the date the release of compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$325 se investigation ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was due, a	suspected relea	1.30 ase. The state is the state of the stat	\$0 \$325 ne date required is e estimated date of ng item (except 1) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a the date the release of compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$325 se investigation ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN604280180, RN102957156, Rating Year 2012 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2007, through August 31, 2012.

Customer, Respondent, or CN604280180, ASHISH VERMA Classification: SATISFACTORY **Rating: 26.67** Owner/Operator: **Regulated Entity:** RN102957156, DIXIE MART Classification: SATISFACTORY **Rating: 26.67** 3 **Complexity Points: Repeat Violator:** NO 14 - Other **CH Group:** 10101 JACKSBORO HWY Location: FORT WORTH, TX 76135-4703, TARRANT COUNTY **TCEQ** Region: REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX ID Number(s): **PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION REGISTRATION 75438** September 01, 2007 to August 31, 2012 **Compliance History Period:** Rating Year: 2012 **Rating Date:** 09/01/2012 May 28, 2013 **Date Compliance History Report Prepared: Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:** Enforcement **Component Period Selected:** May 13, 2008 to May 13, 2013 TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Site and Owner/Operator History:

Name: Rebecca Boyett

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

YES

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

BIRLA LLC OWNER OPERATOR since 11/19/2010 VERMA, ASHISH OPERATOR since 7/26/2012

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

owner(s)/operator(s)?

Dixie Mart, Inc., OWNER OPERATOR, 4/18/2002 to 11/18/2010

Phone: (512) 239-2503

5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator

occur?

11/19/2010

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

1 Effective Date: 11/18/2011

ADMINORDER 2011-0072-PST-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Major

Citation:

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.3475(c)(1)

30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.50(b)(1)(A)

Description: Failed to monitor the USTs in a manner which will detect a release at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring).

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

N/A

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	Š	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ASHISH VERMA DBA DIXIE	§	
MART	§	
RN102957156	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2013-0959-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

- 1. The Respondent operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 10101 Jacksboro Highway in Fort Worth, Tarrant County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's two underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about May 5, 2013.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Nineteen Thousand Two Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars (\$19,225) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid One Thousand Eighty

Dollars (\$1,080) of the administrative penalty and Three Thousand Eight Hundred Forty-Five Dollars (\$3,845) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Fourteen Thousand Three Hundred Dollars (\$14,300) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 26 monthly payments of Five Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$550) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent implemented the following corrective measures:
 - a. Implemented a process for reporting a suspected release; and
 - b. Submitted documentation demonstrating that a method of release detection had been implemented on June 5, 2013.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 19, 2012, and a record review conducted on April 30, 2013.
- 2. Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.72, as documented during an investigation conducted on September 19, 2012, and a record review conducted on April 30, 2013. Specifically, automatic tank gauge records for September 19, 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not reported.
- 3. Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of discovery, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.74, as documented during an investigation conducted on September 19, 2012, and a record review conducted on April 30, 2013. Specifically, automatic tank gauge records for September 19, 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not investigated.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Ashish Verma dba Dixie Mart, Docket No. 2013-0959-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order conduct an investigation of the suspected release and implement appropriate corrective measures, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 334.74; and
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2309 Gravel Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	19/21/13
Pan Jan J	08-09-13 Date
agree to the attached Agreed Order on bel do agree to the terms and conditions specif	and the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to half of the entity indicated below my signature, and half fied therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in is materially relying on such representation.
 and/or failure to timely pay the penalty am A negative impact on compliance his Greater scrutiny of any permit appli Referral of this case to the Attorn additional penalties, and/or attorne Increased penalties in any future en Automatic referral to the Attorney and TCEQ seeking other relief as authority 	story; cations submitted; ney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief y fees, or to a collection agency; forcement actions; General's Office of any future enforcement actions;
As Vellman Signature	08-09-/3 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Ashish Verma dba Dixie Mart	MANGER Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.